

III Parabolic case

Lemma let $f: X \rightarrow X$ with a parabolic fixed point p . ^(tangent to the identity) Denote by $\Phi: P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

denote the Fatou component of an attracting petal P , attached to the attracting direction v .

then Φ extends uniquely to the attracting basin $U_{p,v}$ satisfying the

$$\text{Abel equation } \Phi(f(z)) = \Phi(z) + 1.$$

Proof: It suffices to set $\Phi(z) = \Phi(f^n(z)) - n$ for n big enough.

This formula does to be satisfied, which implies the unicity, while Φ is well defined since it does not depend on the choice of n big enough.

Corollary: In the repelling case: P a repelling petal, then $\Phi: \Phi(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends uniquely to a globally defined holomorphic map $\Psi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$f(\Psi(w)) = \Psi(w+1).$$

Proof: similar to before. $\Psi(z) = f^n(\Psi(w-n))$ for $n \gg 0$. □

Theorem: let $f: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, $\deg f \geq 2$, and $p \in \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ a fixed point with $f'(p) = 1$.

Then each immediate basin of attraction for p contains at least a critical point. Moreover, there exist a maximal petal of the form $\Phi^{-1}(H_R) =: P$.

(Maximal = minimal R , $H_R = \{z \mid \text{Re } z > R\}$). so that $\Phi: P \rightarrow H_R$ is a biholomorphism. Then ∂P contains at least a critical point of f .

Proof: The proof is completely analogous to the attracting case:

1) try to extend $\Phi^{-1} = \Psi$ on horizontal halfplanes $\{t + iy \mid t > t_0\}$.

One cannot do it indefinitely, or we would have that $\Psi(\mathbb{C})$ is

a simply connected parabolic surface in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, which is hence $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{q\}$.

this implies $d=1$, contradiction.

2) Taking R minimal so that Ψ is defined on H_R , we show that

$\Psi(\partial H_R)$ has at least e critical points.

If not, we could extend Ψ to $H_{R'}$, $R' < R$, by setting $\Psi(w) = g(\Psi(zw+d))$ where g is a local inverse of f at $f(z_0)$, $z_0 \in \Psi(\partial H_R)$.

Notice that for $|Im(\phi(z_0))| \gg 0$, we are in the case where the estimates done in the local setting hold, and Ψ extends automatically there.

By compactness of $\{R + \pi i, |y| < S\}$, we get the statement. □

One can or will show that $\phi : \bar{D} \rightarrow \bar{H}_R$ is a homeomorphism.

Similar in the case of a periodic parabolic point, we can find a $q \in \mathbb{N}$ so that z_0 is a fixed point for f^q , and $(f^q)'(z_0) = 1$.

The multiplicity is $\pi + 1$ with $\pi = q \leq S \in \mathbb{N}$, q minimal with the above property. It follows that the basins of attraction of the cycle z_0 (all cumulatively) have \leq critical points for f .

We deduce:

Corollary: Let $f: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ a rational map of degree $d \geq 2$. Then the number of contracting cycles, + the number of parabolic cycles, is $\leq 2d - 2$.

Proof: $2d - 2 = \#C(f)$, and for each cycle, there is at least one critical point on the basin of attraction. Finally, attraction basins are disjoint. □

Rem: parabolic points and superattracting points are counted with multiplicity.

Transfer realising the bound: z^d ; $z + z^d$.

IV Irrational case.

Recall that the post-critical set $PC(f)$ is defined as $PC(f) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} f^n(E(f))$.

Theorem: $f: \hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ rational map of degree $d \geq 2$.

- Every Green's periodic cycle is contained in $\overline{PC(f)}$.
- The boundary of every (cycle of) Siegel disk(s) is contained in $\overline{PC(f)}$.

Proof: Set $U = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{PC(f)}$, and $V = f^{-1}(U)$. ($P = PC(f)$).

Since $f^{-1}(P) \supset P \rightsquigarrow f^{-1}(\overline{P}) \supset \overline{P} \Rightarrow V \subset U$.

Since U has no critical values, hence V has no critical points,

$f: V \rightarrow U$ is a $d:1$ covering map

(More precisely, for any $V' \subset V$ connected component, $f|_{V'}: V' \rightarrow f(V')$ is a covering map ($f(V')$ connected component of U)).

We may assume that $\#\overline{P} \geq 3$.

If not: U is a parabolic Riemann surface, and being $f: V \rightarrow U$ a $d:1$ covering with $V \subset U$, we get $V = U$, which implies $f^{-1}(P) = P$. ($\Rightarrow P \subseteq E$)

Up to change of coordinates, either $P = \{\infty\}$, or $P = \{\infty, 0\}$.

In the first case, f is a polynomial with no critical points in \mathbb{C} (contradiction)

In the second case, f^2 is a polynomial with only a fixed critical point at $0 \rightsquigarrow \frac{z^2}{z^2 - 2z} \quad (\Rightarrow f(z) = 2z^{\pm d})$.

In this case, there are no periodic points with multiplier of the form $\lambda = e^{2\pi i \alpha}$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, and there is nothing to prove.

(preperiodic for $z^d \Leftrightarrow z=0, z=\infty, \text{ or } z=e^{2\pi i \frac{k}{d}}$, and $f'(z) = dz^{d-1}$ repelling).

We may consider any connected component of V or U to be hyperbolic

Consider now a fixed point $z_0 = f(z_0) \in U$ ($\Rightarrow z_0 \in V = f^{-1}(U)$).

Let $V_0 \subset U_0$ be the connected components containing z_0 .

We have two cases.

1) $V_0 = U_0$. Then $f(V_0) \subset V_0$ and $V_0 \subset \text{Fatou}(P)$.

(if it intersects $S(P) \cup f^{-1}(V_0) \supset \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E(P)$). In this case z_0 is not a Cremer point.

2) $V_0 \neq U_0$. Then $i: V_0 \hookrightarrow U_0$ strictly decreases Poincaré distances:

$$P_{V_0}(x, y) > P_{U_0}(x, y) \quad \forall x \neq y, x, y \in V_0.$$

Being $f: V \rightarrow U$ a covering map, it follows that also $f: V_0 \rightarrow U_0$ is a covering map.

(it suffices to show that $f(V_0) = U_0$, and to do so, you can check

that $f(V_0)$ is both open and closed in U_0 , so that

$$P_{U_0}(f(x), f(y)) \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{As } x, y \text{ close enough}}}{=} P_{V_0}(x, y) > P_{U_0}(x, y).$$

It follows that z_0 must be a repelling fixed point, hence not Cremer.

This shows the first property. We now focus with a Siegel disk

$\Delta = f(\Delta)$ associated to a fixed point z_0 .

$\Delta \setminus \{z_0\}$ is foliated by f -invariant circles ($= \psi(\partial\Delta_r)$).

$\bar{P} \cap \Delta \setminus \{z_0\}$ consists of at most finitely many of these circles.

(if there is a $z \in \bar{P} \cap \partial\Delta_r \Rightarrow \partial\Delta_r \subset \bar{P}$, while we cannot accumulate from outside, both because $f \sim z \mapsto dz$ on Δ).

Hence if a component U_0 of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{P}$ intersects $\partial\Delta$, then it must contain a neighborhood of $\partial\Delta$ within Δ .

In particular it contains any circle $\partial\Delta_\epsilon$ sufficiently close to the boundary.

Similarly, any component V_0 of $f^{-1}(U_0)$ contains every such circle.

We have two cases:

1) $V_0 = U_0 \Rightarrow U_0 \subset \text{Fatou}(f)$ (as before)

But $\partial\Delta \subset J(f)$, so $U_0 \cap \partial\Delta = \emptyset$.

Recall: $\partial\Delta \subset J(f)$, comes from the classification of dynamics on hyperbolic Riemann surfaces:

Let U_0 be the connected component of $\text{Fatou}(f)$ containing Δ .

Then $f(U_0) \subset U_0$, and it cannot be an attracting basin, no escape to infinity, not of finite order. Hence it must be an irrational rotation domain, so

2) $V_0 \neq U_0$. As before, $f|_{V_0}$ strictly increases the distance d_{U_0} between nearby points. Hence it maps ^{compact} paths to other paths of strictly longer length.

But this is impossible, because V_0 contains $\partial\Delta_\epsilon$ close to the boundary, and they are sent diffeomorphically into themselves.

This shows the theorem for fixed points.

In the periodic case, it suffices to apply the argument for a suitable iterate f^m ,

$$\text{since } PC(f^m) = PC(f)$$

In fact, by chain rule, $e(f^m) = \prod_{j=0}^{m-1} e(f^j)$,

if $z_0 \in e(f)$ and $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is its forward orbit, then $z_n \in PC(f) \forall n \geq 1$.

Write $n = km - j$, $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Then $z_n = (f^m)^k(z_{-j})$, $z_{-j} \in f^{-j}(e(f))$, and $z_n \in PC(f^m)$.

Similarly if $z \in PC(f^m)$, then $z = f^{mk}(w)$, $w \in f^{-j}(z_0)$, $z_0 \in e(f)$, $j \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$.

Hence $z = z_{mk-j} f^{mk-j} > 0 \Rightarrow z \in PC(f)$. \square

